investigation conducted by the local police. The police official concerned was warned to be careful in future for his having adopted a casual approach in filing an incomplete charge-sheet in the case. Apart from this, there were 4 other cases, during 1997, where the courts commented adversely in the investigation by the local police. In two cases, departmental enquiry has been ordered against the accused police officials. In the third case, an advisory memo, was issued to the police official concerned. In the fourth case, the Addl. Commissioner of Police (Northern Range) has ordered to convey "displeasure" to the police official concerned.

MS. Liberhan Commission of Enquiry

3128. SHRI DIPANKAR MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to refer to answer to Unstarred Question 801 given in the Rajya Sabha on 3rd June, 1998 and State:

(a) whether the tenure of the Commission has been extended;

(b) if so, the present tenure of the Commission;

(c) if not, the reasons therefor; and

(d) the present status of the 1993 order of Lucknow Bench of Allahabad High Court?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI L.K. ADVANI): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. The present tenure of the Commission has been extended upto 31.12.1998.

(c) Does not arise.

(d) Some of the persons, who were issued notices by the Commission among others under Rules 5(2) of the Commission of Inquiry Rules had gone on petitions against these notices in Lucknow Bench of Allahabad High Court and obtained stay order. These petitions were dismissed in default on 15.5.97 and subsequently restored to their original numbers by the Court on 23.7.1997. As a result of restoration of these cases to their original numbers, it became necessary to know whether the stay order dated 14.10.93 has also been revived by Court *vide* its order dated 23.7.97 or not. As directed by the Commission on 11.12.97, Union of India has already filed an application on 17.12.97 before the Lucknow Bench to clarify whether the stay order which was vacated on 15.5.97 has been restored on 23.7.97 alongwith the Writ Petitions. In order to expedite the matter another application has also been filed in March, 1998.

Merger of Manipur with Indian Union

3129. SHRI W. ANGOU SINGH: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the fact of merger agreement between Government and the Maharaja of Manipur on 21st September, 1949;

(b) if so, the articles of agreement;

(c) whether all the articles of agreement have been properly fulfilled now; and

(d) whether it is a fact that some of the articles viz. Article VIII is fulfilled properly?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI L.K. ADVANI): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The artices of agreement provide for transfer of the administration of the State of Manipur to the Government of India and related transitory provisions.

(c) and (d) Government have fulfilled all its obligations within the Constitutional framework.

BOMB Blast at Kailash Colony

3130. SHRI GHUPRAN AZAM: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Delhi Police have failed to detect the culprits involved in